

प्रधान सीमा शुल्क आयुक्त (सामान्य) कार्यालय

OF FICE OF THE PRINCIPAL COMMISSIONER OF CUSTOMS (GENERAL)

नवीन सीमा शुल्क भवन, बलाई इस्टेट,

NEV CUSTOM HOUSE, BALLARD ESTATE,

मुंबई/MUMBAI- 400 001

्रोही फोन न. /Tel. No. (022) 22634330 फ़ैक्स न/Fax No. (022)22757416]

फा सं : RT /APPEAL/GEN/ 3SM/33/2018-19

आदेश तिथि : 23.08.2018

जारी करने की तिथि : 23.08.2018

<u>आदेश संख्या: 33/20</u>18-19

द्वारापारित

:डॉ. बी. एस. मोना

अपर सीमाशुल ह आयुक्त

सूचना का अधि कार अधिनियम के प्रथम अपीलीय प्राधिकारी

प्रधान सीमा शुर क आयुक्त (सामान्य) का कार्यालय

नवीन सीमा शु न्क भवन,

बेलार्ड इस्टेट, ३ बई- 400 001

<u>प्रस्तावना</u>

1) यह ।ति उस व्यक्ति के प्रयोग के लिए निःशुल्क है जिसके लिए यह पारित किया गया है।

- 2) सूचा का अधिकार औ धैनियम 2005 धारा की 19 (3) के तहत इस आदेश के विरुद्ध अपील, आदे !! पारित करने की अंतिम तिथि या आदेश प्राप्ति की तिथि से 90 दिनोंके भीतर, केन्द्रीय सूचरा आयोग, नई दिल भी में की जा सकती है।
- 3) इस ादेश में प्रस्तावना प्रहित कुल 03 पृष्ठ सम्मिलित हैं।

BRIEF FACTS OF THE CASE

Subject - Appeal filed by Shri Mahesh Kumar Chaudhary, under Section 19(1) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 against CPIO's Order No. RTICG/GEN 96/2018-19 dated 13.07.2018.

This is an order passed in the case of an appeal dated 18.07.2018, filed by Shri Mahesh Kumar Chaudhary (hereinafter referred to as the Appellant) against the order of the CPIC bearing F.No.RTICG/GEN-96/2018-19 dated 13.07.2018.

Bi efly the facts of the case are that the Appellant residing at C/O Manoj Kumar Chaudha y (GDS), Khad Badh, Village- Chomu, Distt Jaipur, Rajasthan-303702, had sought fillowing information under the Right to Information Act, 2005 vide his RTI application dated 13.06.2018, received in RTI General, NCH, Mumbai on 15.06.2018; the same are reproduced as under:-

"P. sase provide ce tified copies of minutes of meeting of DPC held for promotion fro n TA to STA (now EA) under your office order No. 182/2017 dated 01 06.2017.

If possible infc mation may please be provided on email id ma neshkurlya1991 Dgmail.com."

The CPIO/RTI (General), NCH, Mumbai vide his Order F. No. RTICG/GEN-96/2018-19 dated 13.07. 2018 has disposed of the same, with respect to information sought be the appellant in the RTI application which is reproduced as under:-

"In his regard, it is informed that the Minutes of DPC is confidential in nature and also consist of the information of other officials, the disclosure of which has no relationship to any public activity or interest. Therefore, it is exempted from disclosure under Sec 3(1)(j) of RTI Ac., 2005."

GROUNDS OF APPEAL

Agg ieved by the CPlO's above said reply, the applicant Shri Mahesh Kumar Chaudhar has filed the present appeal on the following grounds:

- Refused access to information denied.
- 2. Section 8(1)(j) o the RTI Act, 2005 wrongly placed.

PERSONAL HEARING

The personal hearing was granted to the appellant on 08.08.2018, 09.08.2018 & 10.08.2018 it 11.45 am but hearing was granted to the appellant on 08.08.2018, 09.08.2018 &

FINDINGS

I have gone through the RTI application dated 13.06.2018 and CPIO reply dated 13.07.2018 and appeal application filed by the appellant dated 18.07.2018.

If has been observed that information sought by the appellant regarding minutes of DPC held for promotion from TA to STA (now EA) under office order No. 182/2017 dated 0 1.06.2017 does not involve the name of the appellant in the present case. Howevel, after going though various decisions of the Honourable CIC, one of which has been quoted below (A), I am of the view that the information related to DPC can be made at allable to the appellant severing the details of employees whose names appear in the allower mentioned DPC minutes. The names of employees whose names find mention in the above mentioned DPC minutes are not to be disclosed in public interest.

A. F. Io. CIC/AT/A/2t 06/00069 of 13.07.2006, case of Mr. Gopal Kumar vs Army He adquarters, part of decision reads as:

"The Departmental Promotion Committees prepare their minutes and make recommendations after examining ACRs of the employees due for promotion.......... However, in all such cases the CPIO and the appellate au horities should apply the doctrine of severability, and should provide him the information which can be provided under subsection (2) of section 10 of the Right to Information Act, 1005."

While providing information to the appellant, the CPIO must make sure that it does not lead to unwarranted invasion of privacy of the employees whose names appear in the above said EPC and their confidentiality remains intact.

ORDER

In view of the above, the appeal filed by the appellant is allowed and the CPIO is directed to provide the information adhering to the above mentioned order of the Honourable CIC.

(Dr. B. S. Meena) (Dr. B. S. Meena) (Dr. B. S. Meena) (Dr. B. S. Meena) (Dr. B. APPELLATE AUTHORITY
ADDITIONAL COMMISSIONER OF CUSTOMS (G), NEW CUSTOM HOUSE, MUMBAI.

Copy to:

- Shri I lahesh Kumar Chaudhary, C/O Manoj Kumar Chaudhary (GDS), Khad Badh, Villag → Chomu, Distt Jaipur, Rajasthan-303702.
- 2. The CPIORTI (G) NCh Mumbai.
- 3. Offic∈ Copy